

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trudemark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/631,871	07/31/2003	Sharon Mi Lyn Tan	03-044	1798
27774 7590 09/30/2008 MAYER & WILLIAMS PC 251 NORTH AVENUE WEST 2ND FLOOR WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			EXAMINER	
			AZPURU, CARLOS A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	Арриосс
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/631,8
Review	MDWO

No. Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	Application/Control No.	
TAN, SHARON MILYN	10/631,871	
Art Unit		
1615	MP WOODWARD	
1615	MP WOODWARD	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Rev	iew filed 12 May 2008.
 Improper Request – The Request is improper an reason(s): 	d a conference will not be held for the following
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurred. ☐ The request does not include reasons why a revenue. ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Present Other: 	view is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Not	
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interfined. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decrunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whiche appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based up of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	ere is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ision, or the balance of the two-month time period ver is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
The panel has determined the status of the classical Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	aim(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits renapplicant at this time.	
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been haction will be mailed. No further action is required by a	
All participants:	
(1) MP WOODWARD. MPW	(3)Robert A. Wax.
(2) <u>Carlos A. Azpuru</u> .	(4)